UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/540,571 | 06/24/2005 | Naoe Sakurai | 272232US0PCT | 6457 |
| 22850 7590 05/04/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | NGUYEN, THUY-AI N | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1796 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/04/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/540,571 | SAKURAI ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | THUY-AI N. NGUYEN | 1796 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Jac</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the Expression in the practice under Expression in the Expression in the practice under Expression in the practice under Expression in the Express | action is non-final. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se cion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other: | ate | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

This supplemental action accompanies a first office action, also dated April 15, 2009. This supplemental action includes all details of said previous office action and includes an additional rejection based on Tomarchio et al. and Klisch et al.. This supplemental action supersedes said first office action.

Claim Objections

Claims 2- 8 are objected to because of the following informalities: the word "claim" has a capital letter in middle of the sentences. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1- 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomarchio et al. (US. 2003/0019508) in view of Klisch et al. (US. 4,554,098).

Regarding claims 1, Tomarchio et al. teach a cleaning composition in wet wipes comprising anionic surfactants [0060-0073], and zwitterionic surfactants including trimethylglycine (glycine betaine, [0088]), wherein the pH of the composition is preferably from 2 to 4 [0055].

Application/Control Number: 10/540,571

Art Unit: 1796

Tomarchio et al. do not teach the ratio of anionic surfactants and trimethylglycine. Klisch et al. teach a detergent composition comprising anionic surfactants (col. 4: 19- 68) and zwitterionic surfactants including lauryldimethylammonioacetate (col. 560- col. 6: 16). Lauryldimethylammoniaceate and trimethylglycine both are zwitterionic surfactants and have the same backbone. Klisch et al. teach the composition, wherein the ratio of anionic surfactants to the zwitterionic surfactant is 1:2 to 3:1 (col. 6: 17- 30). Tomarchio et al. and Klisch et al. are analogous arts because they are in the same field of endeavor, namely, cleaning composition. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the ratio in the teaching of Klisch et al. in the teaching of Tomarchio et al.. The motivation is to reduce the skin irritation of the cleaning composition (Klisch et al., col. 6: 17- 20).

Page 3

Regarding claim 2, Tomarchio et al. teach the composition comprising anionic surfactants including alkyl ether sulfate (alkyl phenol ethylene oxide ether sulfate [0070]) in evidence of Klisch et al. (alkyl ether sulfate, col. 2: 27-35).

Regarding claim 3, Tomarchio et al. teach the composition, wherein anionic surfactant (alkyl sulfate) is present in an amount of 0.6 percent, and C12- C14 betaine in an amount of 0.2 percent (see the table, p. 12). Although Tomarchio et al. do not teach specific amount of trimethylglycine, it would be obvious that Tomarchio et al. will use the same amount of betaine as said above for glycine betaine because they are equivalent alternative that they are zwitterionic surfactants and used for the same purpose of reducing skin irritation [0086-0092].

Regarding claim 4, Tomarchio et al. teach the composition comprising amphoteric surfactant (amine oxide) in an amount of 0.2 to 0.4 percent (see the table, p. 12).

Regarding claim 5, Tomarchio et al. teach that the composition is a skin detergent composition because the composition is used for cleaning and cleansing a surface, and also for skin care.

Regarding claim 6, Tomarchio et al. teach the composition as said above. Tomarchio et al. do not teach the composition is face wash. However, because the composition comprises the same components as said in the claim, and it is said to use for cleaning a surface including human skin. It would be obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the composition for face wash to meet the wide range of expectation of the user.

Regarding claim 7, Tomarchio et al. teach the composition comprising anionic surfactant and trimethylglycine as said above. However, Tomarchio et al. do not teach the proportion in the range of the applicant. Klisch et al. teach the cleaning composition comprising anionic surfactant (alkyl ether sulfate) in an amount of from 8 to 30 percent (col. 2: 28- 35) and 1 to 8 percent of zwitterionic surfactant (abstract). Tomarchio et al. and Klisch et al. are analogous arts because they are in the same field of endeavor, namely, cleaning composition. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the proportion of anionic surfactant and trimethylglycine of Klisch et al. in the teaching of Tomarchio et al.. The motivation is to achieve a desired counter-irritant level of detergent.

Regarding claim 8, Tomarchio et al. teach the composition having the pH from 1 to 5 [0055] with the balance of water (see the table, p. 12).

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klisch et al. (US. 4,554,098) in view of Tomarchio et al. (US. 2003/0019508).

Regarding claim 9, Klisch et al. teach the cleaning composition comprising anionic surfactant (alkyl ether sulfate) in an amount of from 8 to 30 percent (col. 2: 28- 35) and 1 to 8 percent of zwitterionic surfactant (abstract), wherein the ratio of anionic surfactant to zwitterionic surfactant is from 1: 2 to 3:1 (col. 6: 17- 30) which is overlap with the range as said the applicant, wherein the pH is in the range of from 5 to 9 (col. 7: 63- 68).

However, Klisch et al. do not teach that the zwitterionic surfactant is trimethylglycine.

Tomarchio et al. teach the similar composition, wherein the zwitterionic surfactants derived from the same formula as in the teaching of Klisch et al., wherein the zwitterionic surfactants include trimethylglycine (glycine betaine). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use trimethylglycine of Tomarchio et al. in the teaching of Klisch et al. as an equivalent alternative of zwitterionic surfactants.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to THUY-AI N. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)270-3294. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. eastern time.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,571

Page 6

Art Unit: 1796

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Eashoo can be reached on 571-272-1197. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

THA

/David Wu/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796